

Quark Phase Transition Parameters and δ -Meson Field in RMF Theory

G.B. Alaverdyan

Yerevan State University, A. Manoogyan str.1, Yerevan 0025, Armenia

Abstract

The deconfinement phase transition from hadronic matter to quark matter in the interior of compact stars is investigated. The hadronic phase is described in the framework of relativistic mean-field (RMF) theory, when also the scalar-isovector δ -meson effective field is taken into account. To describe a quark phase the MIT bag model is used. The changes of the mixed phase threshold parameters caused by the presence of δ -meson field are investigated.

1. Deconfinement phase transition parameters

The modern concept of hadron-quark phase transition is based on the feature of that transition, that is the presence of two conserved quantities in this transition: baryon number and electric charge [1]. It is known, that depending on the value of surface tension, σ_s , the phase transition of nuclear matter into quark matter can occur in two scenarios [2]: ordinary first order phase transition with a density jump (Maxwell construction), or formation of a mixed hadron-quark matter with a continuous variation of pressure and density [1]. Uncertainty of the surface tension values does not allow to determine the phase transition scenario, taking place in reality. In our recent paper [3] in the assumption that the transition to quark matter is a usual first-order phase transition, described by Maxwell construction, we have shown that the presence of the δ -meson field leads to the decrease of transition pressure P_0 , of baryon number densities n_N and n_Q . In this article we investigate the deconfinement phase transition, when the transition proceeds through a mixed phase.

For description of hadronic phase we use the relativistic Lagrangian density of many-particle system consisting of nucleons, p , n , and exchanged mesons σ , ω , ρ , δ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\omega\rho\delta}(\sigma(x), \omega_\mu(x), \vec{\rho}_\mu(x), \vec{\delta}(x)) = \mathcal{L}_{\sigma\omega\rho}(\sigma(x), \omega_\mu(x), \vec{\rho}_\mu(x)) - U(\sigma(x)) + \mathcal{L}_\delta(\vec{\delta}(x)), \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\omega\rho}$ is the linear part of relativistic Lagrangian density without δ -meson field [4], $U(\sigma) = \frac{b}{3}m_N(g_\sigma\sigma)^3 + \frac{c}{4}(g_\sigma\sigma)^4$ and $\mathcal{L}_\delta(\vec{\delta}) = g_\delta\bar{\psi}_N\vec{\tau}_N\vec{\delta}\psi_N + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\vec{\delta}\partial^\mu\vec{\delta} - m_\delta\vec{\delta}^2)$ are the σ -meson self-interaction term and contribution of the δ -meson field, respectively. This Lagrangian density (1) contains the meson-nucleon coupling constants, g_σ , g_ω , g_ρ , g_δ and also parameters of σ -field self-interacting terms, b and c . In our calculations we take $a_\delta = (g_\delta/m_\delta)^2 = 2.5 \text{ fm}^2$ for the δ coupling constant, as in [5]. Also we use $m_N = 938.93 \text{ MeV}$ for the bare nucleon mass, $m_N^* = 0.78 m_N$ for the nucleon effective mass, $n_0 = 0.153 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ for the baryon number density at saturation, $f_0 = -16.3 \text{ MeV}$ for the binding energy per baryon, $K = 300 \text{ MeV}$ for the incompressibility modulus, and $E_{\text{sym}}^{(0)} = 32.5 \text{ MeV}$ for the asymmetry energy. Five other

constants, $a_i = (g_i/m_i)^2$ ($i = \sigma, \omega, \rho$), b and c , then can be numerically determined: $a_\sigma = (g_\sigma/m_\sigma)^2 = 9.154 \text{ fm}^2$, $a_\omega = (g_\omega/m_\omega)^2 = 4.828 \text{ fm}^2$, $a_\rho = (g_\rho/m_\rho)^2 = 13.621 \text{ fm}^2$, $b = 1.654 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ fm}^{-1}$, $c = 1.319 \cdot 10^{-2}$. If we neglect the δ channel, then $a_\delta = 0$ and $a_\rho = 4.794 \text{ fm}^2$. The knowledge of the model parameters makes it possible to solve the set of four equations and to determine the re-denoted mean-fields, $\sigma \equiv g_\sigma \bar{\sigma}$, $\omega \equiv g_\omega \bar{\omega}_0$, $\delta \equiv g_\delta \bar{\delta}^{(3)}$, and $\rho \equiv g_\rho \bar{\rho}_0^{(3)}$, depending on baryon number density n and asymmetry parameter $\alpha = (n_n - n_p)/n$. The standard QHD procedure allows to obtain expressions for energy density $\varepsilon(n, \alpha)$ and pressure $P(n, \alpha)$. The results of our analysis show that the scalar - isovector δ -meson field inclusion increases the value of the energy per nucleon. This change is strengthened with the increase of the nuclear matter asymmetry parameter, α . The δ -field inclusion leads to the increase of the EOS stiffness of nuclear matter due to the splitting of proton and neutron effective masses, and also due to the increase of asymmetry energy (for details see Ref.[6]).

To describe the quark phase the MIT bag model is used, in which the interactions between u , d , s quarks inside the bag are taken in a one-gluon exchange approximation [7]. We choose $m_u = 5 \text{ MeV}$, $m_d = 7 \text{ MeV}$ and $m_s = 150 \text{ MeV}$ for quark masses, $B = 60 \text{ MeV/fm}^3$ for bag parameter and $\alpha_s = 0.5$ for the strong interaction constant.

Table 1: The Mixed phase parameters with $(\sigma\omega\rho\delta)$ and without $(\sigma\omega\rho)$ δ -meson field.

	n_N fm^{-3}	n_Q fm^{-3}	P_N MeV/fm^3	P_Q MeV/fm^3	ε_N MeV/fm^3	ε_Q MeV/fm^3
$\sigma\omega\rho$	0.072	1.083	67.728	1280.889	0.336	327.747
$\sigma\omega\rho\delta$	0.077	1.083	72.793	1280.884	0.434	327.745

Table 1 represents the parameter sets of the mixed phase both with and without δ -meson field. It is shown that the presence of δ -field alters threshold characteristics of the mixed phase. The lower threshold parameters, n_N , ε_N , P_N , are increased, meanwhile the upper ones, n_Q , ε_Q , P_Q , are slowly decreased. For EOS, used in this study, the central pressure of the maximum mass neutron stars is less than the mixed phase upper threshold P_Q . Thus, the corresponding hybrid stars do not contain pure strange quark matter core.

References

- [1] N.K. Glendenning, *Phys. Rev.* **D46** (1992) 1274.
- [2] H. Heiselberg, C.J. Pethick, and E.S. Staubo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** (1993) 1355.
H. Heiselberg and M. Hjorth-Jensen, (1999), arXiv: nucl-th/9902033.
- [3] G.B. Alaverdyan, *Gravitation & Cosmology* **15** (2009) 5, arXiv: 0902.0050 [astro-ph.SR].
- [4] N. K. Glendenning, *Compact Stars*, Springer (2000).
- [5] B. Liu, V. Greco, V. Baran, M. Colonna, and M. Di Toro, *Phys. Rev.* **C65** (2002) 045201. V. Greco, M. Colonna, M. Di Toro, and F. Matera, *Phys. Rev.* **C67** (2003) 015203.
- [6] G. B. Alaverdyan, *Astrophysics* **52** (2009) 132.
- [7] E. Farhi and R. L. Jaffe, *Phys. Rev.* **D30** (1984) 2379.